

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/792,163	03/03/2004		Vasilii V. Spirin	3915.002	3718	
41288	7590	05/18/2005	EXAMINER		INER	
PENDORF 5111 MEMO			NGUYE	NGUYEN, TU T		
TAMPA, FL 33634-7356				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2877	2877	

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	1
٧	1
1	_

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/792,163	SPIRIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tu T. Nguyen	2877				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 03 March 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Abstract

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

For this application, the abstract has more than 150 words.

Claim Objections

Claims 1-10 are objected to because of the following informalities:

- 1) Claim 1, line 17 and line 22, "overage power" should be changed to "average power".
- 2) Claim 1, line 26, "the transmission-reflection dependencies" is lack of antecedent and basis.
- 3) Claim 1, lines 27-28, "the locations and values" is lack of antecedent and basis.
 - 4) Claim 2, line 3, "test fiber" should be changed to "the test fiber".

Art Unit: 2877

5) Claim 7, line 2, "optical circulator" should be changed to "an optical circulator".

6) Claim 8, line 1-2, "wherein transmission-reflection analyzer" should be changed to "wherein said transmission-reflection analyzer".

7) Claim 7, lines 20 and line 25, the phrase "changes in the power" should be changed to "changes in the <u>average</u> power" to match with the fiber sensor in claim 1 and to provide better understanding in lines 40-43 of the claim.

Claims 3-6,9 are objected as being depended on an objected claim.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-10 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,753,520. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other. Claims 1-14 in '520 disclose all the limitations of the claims 1-10 in this application except the algorithm in claim 8. however, it would have been obvious to modify the analyzer in Patent No. '520 with different algorithm to for different testing purposes.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-10 would be allowable if applicant file a Terminal Disclaimer and amend the claims to overcome the Double Patent rejection and the objection as discussed above.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Prior arts of record do not disclose a distributed optic sensor. The sensor comprises: a plurality of reflectors with distance-depending differential reflectivity positioned along the test fiber and a plurality of loss-inducing members positioned along a test fiber, wherein said each of the reflectors is matched to each loss-inducing members, wherein at least one reflector is placed between each consecutive loss-inducing members; an optical reflection detector for detecting a light flux, the optical

Art Unit: 2877

reflection detector, wherein the reflection detector is adapted to sense changes in the average power of the light reflected from the reflectors; an optical transmission detector adapted to receive the light flux and being operable to sense changes in the average power of the light transmitted through the test fiber; and a storage transmission-reflection analyzer connected to reflection and transmission detectors and adapted to measure time-behavior of the transmission-reflection dependencies of test fiber, said analyzer being operable to identify the locations and values of any number of consecutive loss-inducing disturbances along the test fiber by using a stored locations and values of previous perturbations and the slope of dependence of normalized reflected average power versus the square of normalized transmitted average power for current loss-inducing perturbation which structurally arranged and functionally operated as claimed in claims 1,10.

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tu T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2424. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F 7:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Toatley Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-2800 Ext. 77. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2877

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Tu T. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2877

Sunguyen

05/13/2005